FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Preamble

Section 2108(a) of the Act provides that the State and Territories *must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each Federal fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the Federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The State is out of compliance with SCHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The State is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the State's program is incomplete.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- **A.** Recognize the *diversity* of State approaches to SCHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their SCHIP programs, **AND**
- B. Provide *consistency* across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- C. Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- D. Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

^{* -} When "State" is referenced throughout this template, "State" is defined as either a state or a territory.

FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Terri	tory:			WY					
	(Name of State/Territory)								
The followi 2108(a)).	ng Annual Repo	rt is submitted in comp	oliance with	Title XXI of the Soc	ial Security Act (Section				
Signature:									
		Brent D. Sh	erard, M.D	., M.P.H.					
SCHIP Pro	gram Name(s):	All, Wyoming							
SCHIP Pro	ogram Type:	_ SCHIP Medicaid Ex _ Separate Child Hea _ Combination of the	ilth Progran						
Reporting I	Period: 2007		Note: Fede	eral Fiscal Year 2007 star	ts 10/1/06 and ends 9/30/07.				
Contact Pe	erson/Title:	Patricia J. Guzman,	Manager						
Address:	Kid Care CHIF	.							
	6101 Yellowst	one Rd, Ste 210							
City:	Cheyenne	State:	WY	Zip:	82002				
Phone:	307-777-6228		Fax:	307-777-7085					
Email:	patricia.guzm	an@health.wyo.gov							
Submission	n Date: 12/3	1/2007							

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)

SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF SCHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES

SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program

To provide a summary at-a-glance of your SCHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different SCHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

Separate Child Health Program

			* Upper	* Upper % of FPL are defined as <u>Up to and Including</u>						
						From		% of FPL conception to birth		% of FPL *
	From		% of FPL for infants		of PL *	From	134	% of FPL for infants	200	% of FPL *
Eligibility	From		% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5		of PL *	From	134	% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	200	% of FPL *
	From		% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16		of PL *	From	101	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	200	% of FPL *
	From		% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18		of PL *	From	101	% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	200	% of FPL *
	1			<u>'</u>						
			No				\boxtimes	No		
Is presumptive eligibility provided for children?			Yes, for whon	n and how long	g? [1 (000]		Yes - Please description of eligibility policies [1	presum anted pe age dura ity perio	ptive er ation of the
□ N/A					N/A					
Is retroactive	eligibility		No				\boxtimes	No		
available?	,		Yes, for whon	Yes, for whom and how long?				Yes, for whom and	how lon	g?

		N/A	4		N/A	
Does your State Plan					No	
contain authority to			Not applicable		Yes	
implement a waiting list?			Tot applicable		N/A	
				<u> </u>	1	
		No			No	
Does your program have a mail-in application?		Ye	S	\boxtimes	Yes	
		N/A	1		N/A	
Can an applicant apply		No		\boxtimes	No	
for your program over the		Ye	S		Yes	
phone?		N/A	1		N/A	
	•			<u> </u>		
Does your program have an application on your		No			No	
website that can be printed, completed and		Ye	S	\boxtimes	Yes	
mailed in?		N/A	4		N/A	
		No		\boxtimes	No	
		Ye	s – please check all that apply		Yes -	- please check all that apply
			Signature page must be printed and mailed in			Signature page must be printed and mailed in
Can an applicant apply for your program on-line?			Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)			Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income documentation)
			Electronic signature is required			Electronic signature is required
						No Signature is required
		N/A	4		N/A	
	1			1		
Does your program		No		\triangleright		No
require a face-to-face interview during initial		☐ Yes]	Yes
application		N/A	4	□ N/A		N/A
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		1
Does your program require a child to be			No]	No

uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to	Yes			\boxtimes	Yes	_		
enrollment (waiting period)?	Specify n	Specify number of months			umber of mo	nths 1		
poriody:				To which groups (including FPL levels) does the period of uninsurance apply? [1000]				
				All groups Wyoming		der SCHIP in		
					emptions to ince [1000]	mposing the period of		
					ses health ins ge of job; dea	surance due to loss of ath.		
		N/A			N/A			
	☐ No)			No			
Does your program match prospective	☐ Ye	s		\boxtimes	Yes			
enrollees to a database that details private insurance status?				Kid Care	If yes, what database? [1000] Kid Care CHIP works closely with BCBS to conduct insurance matches on all eligible children.			
	□ N/	A			N/A			
		No			No			
		Yes		\boxtimes	Yes			
Does your program	Specify number of months				pecify number			
provide period of continuous coverage			hen a child would lose period in the box below	Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below				
regardless of income changes?	eligibility during the time period in the box below			Child moves out of state, turns 19, enters an institution, fails quality control, becomes eligible for Medicaid or requests that their				
					policy be cancelled.			
		N/A			N/A			
		No			No			
		Yes			Yes			
	Enrol	I Iment fee		Enroll	Enrollment fee			
		nount .		amount				
Does your program		m amount			m amount			
require premiums or an enrollment fee?	1 62	arly cap			rly cap riefly explain	 fee structure in the box		
	If yes, b		ee structure in the box low	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate)				
		N/A			N/A			

Does your program		No		No		
impose copayments or		Yes	\boxtimes	Yes		
coinsurance?		N/A		N/A		
_		No	\boxtimes	No		
Does your program impose deductibles?		Yes		Yes		
impose deductibles:		N/A		N/A		
		No		No		
		Yes		Yes		
Does your program require an assets test?	If Yes	s, please describe below	If Yes	s, please describe below		
roquiro air accoto toot.						
		N/A		N/A		
		No		No		
	H	Yes		Yes		
Does your program	If Ye	s, please describe below		s, please describe below		
require income disregards?	11 10.	s, picase describe below		ave one disregard for self employed		
diorogardo.				es which is 25% off of their income.		
		N/A		N/A		
		No	\boxtimes	No		
		Yes		Yes		
		☐ We send out form to family with their		☐ We send out form to family		
		information pre-completed and ask		with their information pre-		
Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility		for confirmation		completed and ask for confirmation		
expiring?						
		We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other		We send out form but do not require a response unless		
		circumstances have changed		income or other circumstances		
		Ů.		have changed		
		N/A		N/A		
Enter any Narrative text belo	w. [7 5	5001				
,	•	•				
Comments on Dosnances :-	Taki	lo.				
Comments on Responses in	rrabl	e.				
Is there an assets test for children in your Medicaid program?						

Is it different from the assets test in your separate child health program? If yes, please describe in the narrative section below the asset test in program.	n your		Yes		No		N/A
Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?			Yes		No		N/A
Are they different from the income disregards in your separate child heal program? If yes, please describe in the narrative section below the income disregards used in your separate child health program.	th		Yes		No		N/A
Is a joint application used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?		\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A
7. Indicate what documentation is required at initial application							
Self-Declaration Documentary Income Citizenship Insured Status	tion Re □ ⊠	<u>quired</u>					
8. Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.	g the re	porting	period	? Ple	ease		
		eporting Medica ansion Progra	id SCHIP			Separa Child Hea Progra	alth
		Medica ansion	iid SCHIP m	· 		Child He	alth m
	Expa	Medica ansion Progra	iid SCHIP m	· \	C	Child Hea Program	alth m
indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column. Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair	Yes	Medica ansion Progra No Change	iid SCHIP m	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Yes	Program No Change	alth m
Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)	Yes	Medica ansion Progra No Change	id SCHIF	A .	Yes	Progra No Change	alth m N/A
Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law) Application	Yes	Medica ansion Progra No Change	sid SCHIF m		Yes	Program No Change	alth m N/A
Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law) Application Application	Yes	Medica ansion Progra No Change	sid SCHIF m		Yes	Child Hear Program No Change	N/A
Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law) Application Application documentation requirements Benefit structure	Yes	Medica ansion Progra No Change	sid SCHIF m N//		Yes	No Change	N/A
Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law) Application Application documentation requirements Benefit structure Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)	Yes	Medica ansion Progra No Change	sid SCHIF m		Yes	No Change	N/A
Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law) Application Application documentation requirements Benefit structure Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process) Crowd out policies	Yes	Medica ansion Progra No Change	sid SCHIP m		Yes	No Change	N/A

Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP						\boxtimes	
Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP						\boxtimes	
Eligibility redetermination process						\boxtimes	
Enrollment process for health plan selection						\boxtimes	
Family coverage						\boxtimes	
Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)					\boxtimes		
Premium assistance							\boxtimes
Prenatal Eligibility expansion							\boxtimes
Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)							
Parents							\boxtimes
Pregnant women							\boxtimes
Childless adults							\boxtimes
Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, of fraud and abuse	and referral of cases					\boxtimes	
Other – please specify							
n/a							\boxtimes
n/a	<u> </u>						\boxtimes
n/a							\boxtimes
9. For each topic you responded yes to above, please exp	olain the change and w	hy the	change v	vas made	e, below:		
Applicant and enrollee protections							
(e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)							
Application							
Application documentation requirements							
Benefit structure	As of 7/1/07 we added health benefits; added preventive dental coverage.	d sealar	nts to der				

Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)	
Crowd out policies	
Delivery system	
Eligibility determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)	
Eligibility levels / target population	
Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
Eligibility redetermination process	
Enrollment process for health plan selection	
Family coverage	
Outreach	This year we are taking a different approach with outreach. We are working with many of our community partners across the state to reach families. This has included working with the WY Association of Municipalities, Headstart, School Nurses and others.
Premium assistance	
Prenatal Eligibility Expansion	
Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
Parents	
Pregnant women	
Childless adults	

Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
Other – please specify	
a. n/a	
b. n/a	
c. n/a	
G. 11/a	

- Enter any Narrative text below. **[7500]**5). Kid Care CHIP does not apply the same disregards as Medicaid does. The only disregard that Kid Care CHIP imposes is a 25% self employment disregard.
 7). The citizenship documentation is only for Medicaid, not for SCHIP.

SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the core performance measures for the SCHIP program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data is available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CMS is directed to examine national performance measures by the SCHIP Final Rules of January 11, 2001. To address this SCHIP directive, and to address the need for performance measurement in Medicaid, CMS, along with other Federal and State officials, developed a core set of performance measures for Medicaid and SCHIP. The group focused on well-established measures whose results could motivate agencies, providers, and health plans to improve the quality of care delivered to enrollees. After receiving comments from Medicaid and SCHIP officials on an initial list of 19 measures, the group recommended seven core measures, including four core child health measures:

Well child visits in the first 15 months of life
Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life
Use of appropriate medications for children with asthma
Children's access to primary care practitioners

These measures are based on specifications provided by the Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS®). HEDIS® provides a useful framework for defining and measuring performance. However, use of HEDIS® methodology is <u>not</u> required for reporting on your measures. The HEDIS® methodology can also be modified based on the availability of data in your State.

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second column, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2005 and FFY 2006) will be populated with data from previously reported data in SARTS, enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2007). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:

If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each performance measure as follows:

<u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.

<u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.

<u>Small sample size</u>: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is less than 30. If the sample size is less than 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.

Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

<u>Provisional</u>: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2007.

Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2007.

<u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report</u>: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:

For each performance measure, please indicate the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2007). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data – administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). If another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population included in the Measure:

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined. Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion).

Note: You do not need to report data for all delivery system types. You may choose to report data for only the delivery system with the most enrollees in your program.

Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: SARTS will calculate the rate if you enter the numerator and denominator. Otherwise, if you only have the rate, enter it in the rate box.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2008, 2009, and 2010. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2005 through 2007), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On

the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

MEASURE: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	∑Yes
□ No	□ No	□No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Other. Explain.	Other. Explain.	Other. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
⊠Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
BCBS Claims Data	HEDIS@2004	Hedis @ 2002/2004
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guier. Specify.	Unier. Specify.	Other. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Number of children age 15 mo and	Definition of numerator: Number of children age 15 mo and	Definition of numerator: Number of Children with visits
below with a well child visit in the reporting period.	below with a specified number of well child visits in the	
r of restaurant	reporting period.	
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007

	FFY 2005		FFY 2006	FFY 2007		
HEDIS Performance (If reporting with HELI Percent with specified	OIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance M (If reporting with HEDIS Percent with specified nu	/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology) Percent with specified number of visits		
O visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	4 visits 4 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	O visits Numerator: 207 Denominator: 352 Rate: 58.8	4 visits Numerator: 7 Denominator: 352 Rate: 2 5 visits	O visits Numerator: 219 Denominator: 394 Rate: 55.6	A visits Numerator: 10 Denominator: 394 Rate: 2.5	
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 99 Denominator: 352 Rate: 28.1	Numerator: 3 Denominator: 352 Rate: 0.9	Numerator: 111 Denominator: 394 Rate: 28.2	Numerator: 4 Denominator: 394 Rate: 1	
2 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	6+ visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	2 visits Numerator: 22 Denominator: 352 Rate: 6.3	6+ visits Numerator: 0 Denominator: 352 Rate: 0	2 visits Numerator: 37 Denominator: 394 Rate: 9.4	6+ visits Numerator: 0 Denominator: 394 Rate:	
3 visits Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		3 visits Numerator: 14 Denominator: 352 Rate: 4		3 visits Numerator: 13 Denominator: 394 Rate: 3.3		
Additional notes on me Other Performance N (If reporting with anoth Numerator: 73 Denominator: 134 Rate: 54.5	Measurement Data:	Additional notes on meas Other Performance Me (If reporting with another Numerator: 145 Denominator: 352 Rate: 41.2	asurement Data:	Additional notes on meas Other Performance Me (If reporting with another) Numerator: 175 Denominator: 394 Rate: 44.4	asurement Data:	
Additional notes on me	easure:	Additional notes on meas	sure:		sure: If we look at only children with r a 12 month period our numbers are	

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? We did not increase to the level that we had hoped to for children receiving at least one visit. If we look at children with continuous coverage through the 12 months our numbers are improved, but still not at the levels we would like to see.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? Last year we began sending out birthday letters to families reminding them to take their children in for their wellness visits. We have also been including wellness information in our quarterly newsletters to families.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: We hope to be able to increase our utilization by 5% and decrease our "0" visits by an additional 5%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: We hope to be able to increase our utilization by an additional 5% and decrease our "0" visits by an additional 5%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: We hope to be able to increase our utilization by an additional 5% and decrease our "0" visits by an additional 5%.

Explain how these objectives were set: In looking at our objectives for 2007 we found that they were set a little two high and unfortunately we did not meet them. We are continuing to work on this area and are hoping to find ways to increase utilization and decrease non-utilization.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□No	□No	□No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:
Small sample size (less than 30)	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
		- Summary Supramir
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
	☐Other. Explain: HEDIS@2004	☐ Other. <i>Explain</i> : Hedis @ 2002/2004
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Children utlizing well child visits in	Definition of numerator: Children receiving at least one well	Definition of numerator: Number of Children with Visits
the 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th years.	child visit in the 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th years.	
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits
Numerator:	Numerator: 277	Numerator: 349
Denominator:	Denominator: 1281	Denominator: 1563

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Rate:	Rate: 21.6	Rate: 22.3
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Our percentage of well child visits in this age group have almost doubled since our last fiscal years data, however the rate is still quite low. We have designed a quarterly newsletter for families to address the need for wellness within this population.	Additional notes on measure: If we look at children with 12 months of continuous coverage our numbers are improved. Percent with 1+ visit: Numerator: 136 Denominator: 368 Rate: 37%

Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life (continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: 153	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: 1328	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: 11.5	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Our performance did not increase as much as we had anticipated over the last year. This age group is a very difficult age group to get in for wellness since there are no immunizations required from age 2 until they reach age 5. However if we look at our continuous coverage count, which is the children that had 12 months of coverage during this time period our utilization did exceed our objective that was set last year.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? We have been working closely with our medical contractor and their medical director to try to find new and improved ways to reach this population. We are sending out birthday letters to all children reminding parents to take their children in for a wellness visit. We are also including wellness information in our quarterly newsletters to families.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: We hope to increase our well child visits in this age group by 5%

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: We hope to increase our well child visits in this age group by an additional 5%

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: We hope to increase our well child visits in this age group by an additional 5%

Explain how these objectives were set: We continue to review current and previous years data to determine the most attainable objectives for this group. This group continues to be a difficult one to get in for wellness visits when there are no other requirements (immunizations, etc) until they reach school age.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Guier. Explain.	Guiei. Expuini.	Guidi. Exputiti.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS- specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS: Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
HEDIS@2004	HEDIS@2004	Hedis @ 2002/2004
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Under. specify:	☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Children age 5-9 and 10-17 enrolled	Definition of numerator: Children age 5-9 and 10-17 enrolled	Definition of numerator: Number of Children with
during the year with persistent asthma and at least one	during the year with persistent asthma and at least one	appropriate usage
dispensed prescription for one of the qualifying asthma meds	dispensed prescription for one of the qualifying asthma meds	appropriate asage
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007
1011 01 12000	1 Cui 01 Duin. 2000	1 Cui 01 Dum. 2007

Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma (continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications
5-9 years	<u>5-9 years</u>	<u>5-9 years</u>
Numerator: 2	Numerator: 90	Numerator: 120
Denominator: 2	Denominator: 91	Denominator: 123
Rate: 100	Rate: 98.9	Rate: 97.6
10-17 years	10-17 years	10-17 years
Numerator: 16	Numerator: 147	Numerator: 165
Denominator: 18	Denominator: 155	Denominator: 179
Rate: 88.9	Rate: 94.8	Rate: 92.2
Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)
Numerator: 18	Numerator: 362	Numerator: 407
Denominator: 20	Denominator: 372	Denominator: 426
Rate: 90	Rate: 97.3	Rate: 95.5
Rate. 90	Kate. 97.5	Kate. 93.3
Additional notes on measure: We have found that over the	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
course of this reporting period, the number of children with		
asthma has decreased in our program.		
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Our rates decreased some ~ instead of increasing to our goal of 100% of children with appropriate medications We will continue to work with our insurance contractor to ensure that these rates do not decrease.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Increase rate of children receiving appropriate asthma medications to 98% as the overall rate.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Maintain rate of children receiving appropriate asthma medications.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Increase rate of children receiving appropriate asthma medications to 100% as the overall rate.

Explain how these objectives were set: Over the last couple of years our overall combined rate had gotten better however for this past year we actually decreased some in our rate of children receiving appropriate meds. We are hoping to work with our contractor to find out reasons behind this decrease and work to raise the rate over the next few years until we eventually hit 100%.

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Children's Access to Primary Care Practitioners

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	∑ Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
		Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
BCBS Claims Data	HEDIS@2004	Hedis @ 2004
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Unier. Specify.	Unier. Specify.	Unier. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Number of children accessing a PCP	Definition of numerator: All children enrolled between 10/05	Definition of numerator: Number with a PCP visit
		Definition of numerator, Number with a PCP visit
in the reporting period.	and 9/06 who accessed primary care practitioners	V
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007

FFY	2005	FFY	2006	FFY	2007
HEDIS Performance Measur	Performance Measurement Data:		ement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with HEDIS/HED			f reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology) (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like metho		
Percent with a PCP visit	8,7			Percent with a PCP visit	
12-24 months	<u>7-11 years</u>	12-24 months	7-11 years	12-24 months	7-11 years
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 315	Numerator: 1337	Numerator: 347	Numerator: 1508
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 576	Denominator: 2731	Denominator: 650	Denominator: 2946
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 54.7	Rate: 49	Rate: 53.4	Rate: 51.2
25 months-6 years	12-19 years	25 months-6 years	12-19 years	25 months-6 years	12-19 years
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 1033	Numerator: 1516	Numerator: 1145	Numerator: 1624
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 1604	Denominator: 3045	Denominator: 1937	Denominator: 3301
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 64.4	Rate: 49.8	Rate: 59.1	Rate: 49.2
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure: I continuous 12 months of cover 12-24 months: Numerator: 71 Denominator: 99 Rate: 72 25months - 2 years Numerator: 375 Denominator: 440	
				Rate: 85	
				7-11 years	
				Numerator: 720 Denominator: 1060	
				Rate: 68	
				12-19 yrs	
				Numerator: 813	
				Denominator: 1290	
				Rate: 63	
Other Performance Measure	ment Data:	Other Performance Measure	ment Data:	Other Performance Measure	ment Data:
(If reporting with another meth	odology)	(If reporting with another meth	odology)	(If reporting with another meth	nodology)
Numerator: 2292		Numerator:		Numerator:	
Denominator: 6037	Denominator: Denominator:				
Rate: 38		Rate:		Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:	

FFY 2005 FFY 2006 FFY 2007

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Our performance was pretty good in this section. Our numbers maintained and/or slightly increased some from 2006. We did find that in looking at children with 12 months of continuous coverage during this performance period our numbers increased substantially.

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? We have done quite a bit of education with families through newsletters, birthday letters and in working with our contractor to try to educate them about the importance of a primary care physician.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: We hope to increase our PCP utilization and therefore access by 5%.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: We hope to increase our PCP utilization and therefore access by an additional 5%

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: We hope to increase our PCP utilization and therefore acess by an additional 5%.

Explain how these objectives were set: We continue to look over the data from previous years and have found that we continue to maintain our percentages and/or increase just slightly. We feel that our goals are realistic for the next few years.

Other Comments on Measure:

SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in SCHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 in your State's 4th quarter data report (submitted in October) in the SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by SARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2006	FFY 2007	Percent change FFY 2006-2007
SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	0	0	
Separate Child Health Program	7715	8570	11.08

Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

We continue to work diligently on our Outreach & Education plans to find and enroll eligible children into the program. We have created many new partnerships with organizations across the state to help us find these children.

The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2004-2006. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. SARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2007 Annual Report Template.

		ren Under Age 19	Below 200 Pe	ildren Under Age 19 rcent of Poverty as a Children Under Age 19
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	13	2.6	9.3	1.8
1998 - 2000	12	2.5	8.7	1.7
2000 - 2002	11	1.7	8.2	1.3
2002 - 2004	8	1.5	6.3	1.1
2003 - 2005	6	1.5	5.2	1.2
2004 - 2006	5	2.0	4.2	1.2

Percent change 1996-1998 vs. 2004-2006	-61.5%	NA	-54.8%	NA
--	--------	----	--------	----

Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

In addition to the outreach & education that we continue to do across the state, we also contribute our success to the public/private partnership we have created with Blue Cross Blue Shield and Delta Dental of Wyoming.

Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.

N/A

Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

☐ Yes (please report your data in the table below)

No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	
Reporting period (2 or more	
points in time)	
Methodology	
Population (Please include ages	
and income levels)	
Sample sizes	
Number and/or rate for two or	
more points in time	
Statistical significance of results	

Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.)

What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology?

How does your State use this alternate data source in SCHIP program planning?

How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of SCHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information

During the last federal fiscal year, the number of children enrolled in Medicaid has decreased. In September 2006 there were 28,405 children enrolled in Medicaid. In September 2007 27,565 children were enrolled, which is a decrease of 840 children. Source: Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS).

SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your SCHIP State Plan. (If Section 9 of your SCHIP State Plan has changed, please indicate when it changed, and how the goals and objectives in Section 9 of your State Plan and the goals reported in this section of the annual report are different. Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

Reducing the number of uninsured children

SCHIP enrollment

Medicaid enrollment

Increasing access to care

Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, report data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2005 and FFY 2006) will be populated with data from previously reported data in SARTS, enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2007).

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. All new goals should include a direction and a target. For clarification only, an <u>example</u> goal would be: "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of SCHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13th birthday."

Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

<u>New/revised:</u> Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.

<u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.

<u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

<u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2007.

Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2007.

<u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report:</u> Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2007). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and SCHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population Included in Measure:

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please also check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data:

<u>Describe what is being measured</u>: Please provide a brief explanation of the information you intend to capture through the performance measure.

Numerator, Denominator, and Rate: Please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). For the objectives related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, the template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2008, 2009, and 2010. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2005 through 2007), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
By December 2005 decrease the proportion of uninsured	By December 2006 decrease the proportion of uninsured	Decrease the proportion of uninsured children either at or
children at or below 200% by 60%	children at or below 200% by 60%	below 200% FPL by 10% each year.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
	We have changed the way we analyze the data as we feel it	We modified this goal some from previous years in order to
	will more accurately describe where we are at with our	create a realistic and attainable performance measure/goal for
	uninsured rate.	the program.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guier. specify.	Guidi. Specify.	Guior. Speedy.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Number of uninsured children in	Definition of denominator: Number of uninsured children in	Definition of denominator: Number of uninsured children in
the state at or below 200%	the state at or below 200% between 1996 and 1998	the state at or below 200% between 1996 & 1998
20070	and state at 32 5013 // 2007/0 5000/0011 1/// 0 and 1/// 0	200/0 0000 12/0 00 12/0
Definition of numerator: Number of children enrolled in the	Definition of numerator: Number of uninsured children in the	Definition of numerator: Number of uninsured children in the
program as of 9/30/05	state at or below 200% between 2003 and 2005.	state at or below 200% between 2004 & 2006.
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Whether the number of uninsured children in the state has	Whether the number of uninsured children in the state has	Whether the number of uninsured children in the state has
decreased due to Kid Care CHIP.	decreased due to Kid Care CHIP.	decreased due to Kid Care CHIP
Numerator: 4692	Numerator: 6000	Numerator: 5000
Denominator: 9000	Denominator: 13000	Denominator: 13000
Rate: 52.1	Rate: 46.2	Rate: 38.5
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Last year our percentage decrease was 46%. This year we are at 38% which indicates that we have dropped an additional 8% in the number of uninsured children in the state. We had hoped to drop the rate by 5% so we have exceeded our initial goal for 2007. We feel that the 8% drop continues to show the success of this program in
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	covering Wyoming's uninsured children. Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? We continue to work diligently on outreach and enrollment strategies across the state.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: We plan to continue to find ways to reach families in the state that remain uninsured. Our goal would be to decrease our uninsured rate by another 5%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Our goal would be to decrease our uninsured rate by another 5%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Our goal would be to decrease our uninsured rate by another 5%.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: We plan to continue to find ways to reach families in the state that remain uninsured. Our goal would be to decrease our uninsured rate by at least another 5%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Our goal would be to decrease our uninsured rate by another 5%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Our goal would be to decrease our uninsured rate by another 5%.
	Explain how these objectives were set: Decreasing the number of uninsured can be difficult, as you find new families to be enrolled there are always others becoming uninsured. These families may have never been in the "system" and know nothing about how to obtain this insurance for their families. It takes new educational methods and outreach. We feel our objectives are a small step toward reducing the number of uninsured in our state.	Explain how these objectives were set: Decreasing the number of uninsured can be difficult, as you find new families to be enrolled there are always others becoming uninsured. These families may have never been in the "system" and know nothing about how to obtain this insurance for their families. It takes new educational methods and outreach. We feel our objectives are a small step toward reducing the number of uninsured in our state.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
l		l

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Modify the current application to be a user friendly	Modify the current application to be a user friendly	Continue to modify the health application to be a user
application for poverty level Medicaid children and Kid Care	application for poverty level Medicaid children and Kid Care	friendly application for poverty level Medicaid children and
CHIP by December 2003.	CHIP by December 2003.	Kid Care CHIP.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:
	We are discontinuing this measure as we feel that it is not	This goal was discontinued last year however we have now
	providing an actual goal that can be measured.	chosen to re-word it slightly and continue it within our
Charles of Data December 1	Ct. to a CD at Day and 1	program's strategic obectives.
Status of Data Reported: Provisional.	Status of Data Reported: Provisional.	Status of Data Reported: Provisional.
Final.	☐ Provisional. ☐ Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☑ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
		We are planning to compile comments and suggestions made
		by the Department of Family Services (who conduct
		Medicaid eligibility), application sites and families to allow
		us to make the most appropriate changes to the application.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: n/a	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: We do not have data for this
Definition of denominator: 11/a	Definition of denominator:	measure
Definition of numerator: n/a	Definition of numerator:	Hicasure
Definition of numerator, in a	Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator: We do not have data for this
		measure
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2007

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Described what is being measured: Our application continues to be modified as needed to ensure	Described what is being measured:	We plan to measure how well our application meets
it is easy to understand. Through our Covering Kids &		families/providers/other agencies expectations in enrolling in
Families Grant, we participated in a Process Improvement	Numerator:	Kid Care CHIP. We will look at the number of pended
Collaborative this year. By participating we were able to have an individual from Maximus who specializes in making	Denominator: Rate:	applications as well as survey families.
applications, etc easy to read for low income people review		Numerator:
our application for us. With her help we made minor	Additional notes on measure: We will continue to and have	Denominator:
changes to the application and are now completely revamping it to make it easy to understand	made changes to our application as needed throughout the	Rate:
it to make it easy to understand	year.	Additional notes on measure:
Numerator:		1 Total Control of Metabolic
Denominator:		
Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:		
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report? Since this is a new measure for
		2007 and it was just added in July 2007 we have not yet needed to modify our health application. Changes are
		planned for early 2008. We are also working on
		creating an electronic application process for our
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	families which we hope to have up and running in 2008. Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: We
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	plan to work with different agencies/parents/providers to
		modify our application to best meet the needs of those
		applying for coverage. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: We
		plan to continue to work with different
		agencies/parents/providers to modify our application to
	A ID 6 OIL 6 6 FDV 2000	best meet the needs of those applying for coverage.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: We plan to continue to work with different
	Explain how these objectives were set:	agencies/parents/providers to modify our application to
	-	best meet the needs of those applying for coverage.
		Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives
		are based on new performance measures/data elements
		set by the Kid Care CHIP program.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Conduct 5 pilot community outreach projects through the	Conduct 5 pilot community outreach projects through the	
Covering Kids and Families grant.	Covering Kids and Families grant	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
☑ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	☑ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:
Covering Kids and Families local projects and marketing	Covering Kids and Families local projects and marketing	
materials.	materials	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerators	Definition of numerators	Definition of numerators
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data:
I car of Data. 2003	I car of Data. 2000	I cai di Data.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
The 5 local projects continue in 8 counties across the state	Our Covering Kids Grant ended on June 30, 2006.	· ·
through the Covering Kids and Families Grant. Between the	Therefore we are going to discontinue this goal for next year	
5 local projects in 8 counties we have over 500	and recreate a new goal that will reflect what SCHIP is doing	Numerator:
application/information sites where applications, brochures or	specifically without this grant.	Denominator:
posters are available for people in the communities. There		Rate:
are an additional 200+ sites in the remaining 15 counties that	However, during FFY 2006, our 5 local projects continued in	
have information as well.	8 counties across the state through the Covering Kids and	Additional notes on measure:
	Families Grant.	
Numerator:		
Denominator:		
Rate:		
	Numerator:	
Additional notes on measure: We have been very fortunate to	Denominator:	
have a Covering Kids Grant housed within our Health	Rate:	
Department and right along side the CHIP program. This	A1122 1 4 D 4 4 51 1 2 4 2	
grant has allowed our state to be successful in outreach	Additional notes on measure: Between the 5 local projects in	
especially with increases in eligibility to CHIP.	8 counties we have over 500 application/information sites	
	where applications, brochures or posters are available for people in the communities. There are an additional 200+	
	sites in the remaining 15 counties that have information as	
	well.	
	wen.	
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	H 11 6 1 2006 11 4	TT 111 6 1 2000 11 11
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	contribute to your progress.	contribute to your progress.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Now	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	that our Covering Kids Grant has ended we will be	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	developing new goals to address the loss of our 5 local	•
	projects in our communities. We hope to be able to	
	utilize our Coalition to continue the work of helping us	
	enroll eligible children into SCHIP.	
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Until	
	we decide upon what our objective is for 2007, we are	
	unable to put one down for this year.	

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Until	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	we decide upon what our objective is for 2007, we are	
	unable to put one down for this year	Explain how these objectives were set:
	Explain how these objectives were set: We are re-	
	evaluating our ability to conduct outreach in our	
	communities and to what extent now that our grant	
	ended in 2006.	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Discontinued. Explain.	☐ Discontinued. Explain.	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guiei. Specify.	Under Specify.	Guier. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
W. CD.	XZ 6Th 4	¥7 . 6 Th. (
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on management	Additional notes on massyres	Additional notas an massura
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
100% of children applying for Kid Care CHIP will be	100% of children applying for Kid Care CHIP will be	100% of children applying for Kid Care CHIP will be
screened for Medicaid eligibility	screened for Medicaid eligibility	screened for Medicaid Eligibility.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
	Final.	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify:	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Omer. Specty.	Other. Specify.	Omer. Specty.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: All applications received by Kid	Definition of denominator: All applications received by Kid	Definition of denominator: All applications received by Kid
Care CHIP	Care CHIP	Care CHIP
Definition of numerator: All applications received by Kid	Definition of numerator: All applications received by Kid	Definition of numerator: All applications received by Kid
Care CHIP	Care CHIP	Care CHIP
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
All applications that are received by Kid Care CHIP are first	All applications that are received by Kid Care CHIP are first	All applications that are received by Kid Care CHIP are first
"screened" for Medicaid eligibility. Because of staff	"screened" for Medicaid eligibility. Because of staff	"screened" for Medicaid eligibility. 20% of all applications
turnover, all applications (100%) are currently QA'd for	turnover, all applications (100%) are currently QA'd for	are reviewed by the Eligibility Unit Manager through our
accuracy, which includes Medicaid screening.	accuracy, which includes Medicaid screening.	Quality Assurance program.
accuracy, which includes wiedleand screening.	accuracy, which includes wedicald screening.	Quanty Assurance program.
We continue to monitor all applications received by our		Numerator:
office to ensure that all apps are screened for Medicaid		Denominator:
eligibility first.	Numerator:	Rate:
engionity first.	Denominator:	Kate.
Numerotani		A 114:1
Numerator:	Rate:	Additional notes on measure:
Denominator:	A 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
Rate:	Additional notes on measure: We continue to monitor all	
	applications received by our office to ensure that all apps are	
Additional notes on measure:	screened for Medicaid eligibility first. To date we have not	
	found any issues with this process. Not only do our	
	eligibility specialists check for Medicaid eligibility, our	
	eligibility system does as well.	
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? We continue to monitor all applications received by our office to ensure that all apps are screened for Medicaid eligibility first. To date we have not found any issues with this process. Not only do our eligibility specialists check for Medicaid eligibility, our eligibility system does as well. Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: We will continue to strive for the 100%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: We will continue to strive for the 100%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: We will continue to strive for the 100% Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives remained the same as in the previous years as our	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: We will continue to strive for the 100%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: We will continue to strive for the 100%. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: We will continue to strive for the 100%. Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives remained the same as in the previous years as our
	performance continues to excel in this area.	performance continues to excel in this area.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Enrollment applications and materials will be available at E	Enrollment applications and materials will be available at	Evaluate the transfer process of cases to Medicaid and the
programs and agencies serving children by December 2003.	programs and agencies serving children by December 2003.	Children's Special Health program each month.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☑ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
1	Now that our program is fully implemented we no longer	This goal was added in our most recent state plan amendment
r	need to include this goal.	(July 2007) as an additional measure to ensure that all
		applications are being referred appropriately.
	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
	Provisional.	Provisional.
	☐ Final.	
	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
1	reported:	reported:
	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
	Covering Kids and Families	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator: Number of applications received
		by Kid Care CHIP that need to be referred to Medicaid
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	and/or CSH
		Deficiel of manager Number of and institute and in-
		Definition of numerator: Number of applications received by Kid Care CHIP that ARE referred to Medicaid and/or CSH
		Kid Care Chip that ARE referred to Medicaid and/or CSH
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Over 500 application and information sites continue to be	Now that our Covering Kids grant has ended we are going to	We are measuring whether the applications that are needing
available across the state for families to obtain applications or	change and/or revisit this goal based on the ability of our	to be referred onto Medicaid and/or the Children's Special
enrollment materials.	SCHIP program.	Health program are actually being referred.
Each of these sites continues to receive notice when there is	We have continued to advise all sites when there are new	Numerator:
new material for Kid Care CHIP. As new applications or	materials available and we provide a marketing materials	Denominator:
brochures are developed a small sampling is sent out along	sheet that they can fax, email or mail in to receive new	Rate:
with an order form. From there, sites can call, fax or email	materials.	
their request in for the exact number their organization needs.		Additional notes on measure: Since this is a new performance
		measure (as of July 1, 2007) so we are still working on
Numerator:	Numerator:	obtaining the data for it.
Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report? This is a new measure
	And those one quality improvement activities that	And there are quality improvement activities that
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Now	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Our
	that our Covering Kids and Families grant has ended,	goal is for 100% of the applications to be referred
	we are developing new performance objectives for this	appropriately.
	goal. We are hoping to be able to continue to utilize	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Our
	our Coalition to assist the SCHIP and Medicaid	goal is for 100% of the applications to be referred
	programs with our application sites.	appropriately.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Once	
	the goal for 2007 is developed we will be able to	
	complete one for 2008	Amusel Deuferman of Ohit of the EDV 2010
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Once the goal for 2007 is developed we will be able to	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Our goal is for 100% of the applications to be referred
	complete one for 2009	appropriately.
	complete one for 2007	appropriately.
	Explain how these objectives were set: We are working	Explain how these objectives were set: All applications
	to ensure that we have the best objectives set for this	that need referral to Medicaid and/or CSH must be referred.
	program. Now that this grant is over we will be setting new	Therefore our goal remains at 100% of apps being referred
	goals and objectives for application dispersement.	appropriately.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
		-
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
	•	1
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
60% of counties will have a sufficient network of providers	60% of counties will have a sufficient network of providers	60% of counties will have a sufficient network of providers
so that participants in Kid Care CHIP will have adequate	so that participants in Kid Care CHIP will have adequate	so that participants in Kid Care CHIP will have adequate
access to covered services. Sufficient = 50% coverage in	access to covered services. Sufficient = 50% coverage in	access to covered services. Sufficient = 50% coverage in
each county.	each county.	each county.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
○ Continuing.	Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
a		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported: Measurement Specification:	reported: Measurement Specification:	reported: Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS: Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Sother. Explain:	Solther. Explain:
BCBS Provider Data	BCBS Provider Data (HEDIS@2004)	BCBS Provider Data
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
BCBS Provider Data	BCBS Provider data	BCBS Provider Data
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Number of providers in each county	Definition of numerator: Number of providers in each county	Definition of numerator: Number of participating providers
compared to the number enrolled as providers in the program.	compared to the number enrolled as providers in the program.	
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator: 743	Numerator: 766
Denominator:	Denominator: 867	Denominator: 897
Rate:	Rate: 85.7	Rate: 85.4
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Every one of our 23 counties	Additional notes on measure: Each one of our 23 counties has
	has over 50% participation. The lowest was 70% and that	over 50% participation. The lowest was 67% and was just
	was in just one county.	one county in the NE section of the state near South Dakota.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: 22	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: 23	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: 95.7	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure: In this FFY we measured percentages only for the counties, not numbers of physicians. Overall we were still way above our goal of 50%.	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? For 2007 our provider network remained at 85%. We are pleased with this percentage because the remaining providers not in the network are mainly physicians that do not generally see children. Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? We continue to work closely with our Medical contractor, Blue Cross Blue Shield to ensure that our relationship with our providers in the state is maintained at adequate levels.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: For FFY 2007, we would like to maintain the participation we have and increase individual particiation by 10% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: For FFY 2008, we would like to maintain the participation we have and increase individual particiation by 10% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: For FFY 2009, we would like to maintain the participation we have and increase individual particiation by 10%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Our objective is to continue to maintain the provider network at current levels. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Our objective is to continue to maintain the provider network at current levels. Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Our objective is to continue to maintain the provider network at current levels.
	Explain how these objectives were set: Since our overall participation continues to increase each year, we wanted to continue to be able to meet our goals. We feel that our objectives are modest but meetable.	Explain how these objectives were set: Because we have had great success with our provider network our main goal is to continue to maintain the network we have.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: Our provider particiation over the last few years has increased greatly. This FFY we have all 23 counties with at least 50% participation which has exceeded our goal.	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
60% of counties will have a sufficient network of dental	60% of counties will have a sufficient network of dental	60% of counties will have a sufficient network of dental
providers so that participants in Kid Care CHIP will have	providers so that participants in Kid Care CHIp will have	providers so that participants in Kid Care CHIP will have
adequate access to covered services. Sufficient = 50%	adequate access to covered services. Sufficient = 50%	adequate access to covered services. Sufficient = 50%
coverage in each county.	coverage in each county.	coverage in each county.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Continuing.	☑ Continuing.	☑ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
☑Other. <i>Explain</i> :	⊠Other. <i>Explain</i> :	⊠Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Delta Dental provider data	Delta Dental provider data	Delta Dental provider data
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	☑ Other. Specify:	☑ Other. Specify:
Delta Dental provider data	Delta Dental provider data	Delta Dental provider data
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: ☑ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only. Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Number of providers in each county	Definition of numerator: Number of providers in each county	Definition of numerator: Number of participating providers
compared to the number enrolled as providers in the program.	compared to the number enrolled as providers in the program	Definition of numerator. Number of participating providers
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: 153	Numerator: 168	Numerator: 169
Denominator: 223	Denominator: 225	Denominator: 228
Rate: 68.6	Rate: 74.7	Rate: 74.1
Additional notes on measure: 153 dentists are participating	Additional notes on measure: We also continue to have 19	Additional notes on measure: We also continue to have 19
with Kid Care CHIP and 19 counties have at least 50%	out of our 23 counties with at least 50% participation.	out of our 23 counties with at least 50% participation.
participation		
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Although our dental network percentage dropped slightly we still feel that our participation is at a level that is adequate for the program. The slight decrease is attributed to dentists retiring from practice in the state. We have had no licensed practicing dentists pull out of the network.
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? Our dental contractor, Delta Dental of Wyoming is very dedicated to this program and has worked very hard to be sure that our provider network remains at the highest levels that it can.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: To
	Increase dentist paticipation by 10%	continue to maintain our dental provider network at
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	current levels
	Increase dentist participation by an additional 10%.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: To continue to maintain our dental provider network at current levels
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: To
	Increase dentist participation by an additional 10%.	continue to maintain our dental provider network at current levels
	Explain how these objectives were set: Our overall	
	dentist participation has gone up from 69% to 75% in the last	Explain how these objectives were set: Our objectives
	year. Dentists are hard to find and keep on many programs	were set based upon how well we feel we are doing in
	such as this so we are very fortunate to have the participation	maintaining our dental network. Because we have been able
	that we do. Our dental provider (Delta Dental of WY) has	to keep our network stable our goals are to continue that
	gone out of their way to ensure that each time a new dentist	stability.
	arrives in the state that they are there to enroll them into our	
	program.	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
50% of children participating in Kid Care CHIP will have a	50% of children participating in Kid Care CHIP will have a	Encourage use of a primary care provider through health plan
primary care provider as a "medical home"	primary care provider as a "medical home"	policies and education.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
⊠ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
		This is a new goal based on revisions to our strategic
		objectives in our most recent state plan amendment (July
		2007)
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	☐Other. Explain: HEDIS@2004	Other. Explain:
HEDIS@2004	Data Source:	Hedis @ 2004 Data Source:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data).	☐ Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guier. specify.	Guier. Specify.	Guier. specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
□ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	□ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator: Number of children with a primary	Definition of numerator:
	care provider	
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator: 4010	Numerator: 4416
Denominator:	Denominator: 7633	Denominator: 8460
Rate:	Rate: 52.5	Rate: 52.2
A 1122 1 4		Aller I a Citi a Ca
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Children up to 24 months:	Additional notes on measure: Children up to 24 months:
	315/576 = 55%	347/650 = 53%

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Children 25 mo to 6 yrs: 1033-1604 = 64%	Children 25 mo to 6 yrs: 1145/1937 = 59%
	Children 7-11 years: 1337/2731 = 49%	Children 7-11 years: 1508/2946 = 51%
	Children 12-19 years: 1516/3045 = 50%	Children 12-19 years: 1624/3301 = 49%
Other Burgares Management But	Other Burgaress Management But	Other Burgarana Management But
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology)	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
ruic.	Rute.	Tute.
Additional notes on measure: We had originally chosen to	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
survey families as to whether they had a medical home for		
their children. We have found though, that surveys do not		
work well with this population. Therefore we have chosen to		
attempt to capture this data differently over this next year.		
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	the Annual Performance Objective documented in	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	your 2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report? Our overall performance for
	your 2005 Amada Report.	children's usage of primary care providers remained the
		same from 2006 to 2007 at 52%. For children up to 24
		months we remained just below our goal of 60% (53%);
		25 months to 6 years our percentage decreased to 59%
		although our goal was set for 70%; 7 to 11 years we
		were slightly under our goal of 55% (51%) and for
		children 12 to 19 years we were slightly under our goal
		as well of 55% (49%).
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: We	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: For
	would like to increase this number to 60% for the	2008 our performance objectives are as follows:
	children up to 24 months, 70% for children up to 6	Overall: 55%
	years, 55% for children up to 11 years and 55% for	Up to 24 months: 55%
	children up to 19 years.	24 mo to 6 yrs: 60%
		7-11 yrs: 55%
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: We	12-19 yrs: 50%
	would like to increase this number to 65% for the	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	children up to 24 months, 75% for children up to 6	Overall: 60%
	years, 60% for children up to 11 years and 60% for	Up to 24 months: 60%
	children up to 19 years.	24 mo to 6 yrs: 65%
		7-11 yrs: 60%
		12-19 yrs: 55%

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: We	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	would like to increase this number to 70% for the	Overall: 65%
	children up to 24 months, 80% for children up to 6	Up to 24 months: 65%
	years, 65% for children up to 11 years and 65% for	24 mo to 6 yrs: 70%
	children up to 19 years.	7-11 yrs: 65%
		12-19 yrs: 60%
	Explain how these objectives were set: It is very	
	important for us to improve on the number of children	Explain how these objectives were set: In looking at
	utilizing their primary care providers. Our numbers are	our performance over the last year we have found that overall
	good but they need to be better. We believe it is very	our numbers are staying level just above 50%, however we
	important to increase these numbers, but to have realistic	did not meet our overall goals for the individual age groups.
	goals for the program. Therefore we are going to work	In setting goals for the next few years we plan to strive for
	towards a 5% increaase in each age group in each year.	increases but we have set them modestly as they are more
		realistic for the program.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Well child visit measurement	Number of children receiving well child visits 15 mo and	At least 50% of children who turned 15 months old during
	below	the preceding year and were continously enrolled in Kid Care
		CHIP from 31 days of age will have received at least four
		well child visits with a primary care provider during their
		first 15 months of life.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	⊠ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
		This is a new measure that was added as part of our state plan
		amendment on July 1, 2007
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☑HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
□Other. Explain:	Explain now HEDIS was modified. ☐Other. Explain:	□Other. Explain:
HEDIS@2004	HEDIS@2004	Hedis @ 2002/2004
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Children under the age of 15	Definition of numerator: Children age 15 mo and below	Definition of numerator: Total children with services
months, enrolled during the period of October 2004 to Sept		
2005		
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
N 72	N 145	N 2
Numerator: 73	Numerator: 145	Numerator: 3
Denominator: 134	Denominator: 352	Denominator: 5

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Rate: 54.5	Rate: 41.2	Rate: 60
Additional notes on measure: Last year, we only had 62 children enrolled at one time during the program that were age 15 months and below and had 78 well child visits. This year we have 73 children enrolled and 134 visits. That is a 58% increase in the number of well child visits for children age 15 mo and below. We also were able to find that of the 73 enrolled children, 52 received more than one well child visit	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? This is a new measure for 2007
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: We hope to be able to increase our well child visits in this age group to 50% of participants Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: We hope to be able to increase our well child visits in this age group to 55% of participants.	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Our performance objective for 2008 would be to increase our rate by 5% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Our performance objective for 2009 would be to increase our rate by an additional 5%

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: We	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Our
	hope to be able to increase our well child visits in this	performance objective for 2010 would be to increase
	age group to 60% of participants.	our rate by an additional 5%
	Explain how these objectives were set: Based on the	Explain how these objectives were set: This is a new
	last few years data, our overall enrollment for this age group	measure for us this year however we were able to exceed our
	has increased, but our utilization of the well child benefit has	original goal by 10%. In the next few years we hope to
	decreased. We plan to work with our insurance contractor	continue to be able to increase our utilization.
	to educate and encourage the families to take their children in	
	for these much needed visits. We plan to utilize our	
	newsletter to reach families as well as some educational	
	materials.	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Well child visit measurement children age 3-6 years	Well child visits 3-6 years	At least 50% of five and six year old children who were
Ç ,	·	continuously enrolled in Kid Care CHIP during the preceding
		year will have received one or more well child visits with a
		primary care provider during the proceding year.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☑ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
	○ Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
		This is a new measure for the program based on our State
		plan amendment from July 1, 2007.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
⊠ Final.	☐ Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
HEDIS@2004	HEDIS@2004	Hedis @ 2002/2004
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
,	,	;;
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
□ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	□ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	□ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Children age 3, 4, 5 and 6 years old	Definition of numerator: Chidlren age 3-6 with well child	Definition of numerator: Total children with services
that have had well child visits in the reporting period.	visits	
Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator: 153	Numerator: 277	Numerator: 110
Denominator: 1328	Denominator: 1281	Denominator: 327
Rate: 11.5	Rate: 21.6	Rate: 33.6
Additional notes on measure: There were 602 children age 3	Additional notes on measure: Our percentage of well child	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
enrolled and 46 well child visits. 46/602 = 8%	visits in this age group have almost doubled since our last	
	fiscal year's data, however the rate is still quite low. We	
There were 200 children age 4 enrolled and 37 well child	have designed a quarterly newsletter for families to address	
visits. 37/200 = 19%	the need for wellness within this population.	
There were 201 children age 5 enrolled and 47 well child		
visits. $47/201 = 23\%$		
VISITS: 47/201 – 25/0		
There were 325 children age 6 enrolled and 23 well child		
visits. 23/325 = 7%		
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
Rate.	Rate.	Rate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2005 Annual Report? This is a new measure for 2007.
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	contribute to your progress.	contribute to your progress.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: We	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Our
	hope to increase our well child visits in this age group	performance objective for 2008 is to increase of
	to 30% of overall participants	wellness visits in this age category by 5%
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: We	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Our
	hope to increase our well child visits in this age group	performance objective for 2009 is to increase of
	to 40% of overall participants	wellness visits in this age category by an additional 5%
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: We	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Our
	hope to increase our well child visits in this age group to 45% of overall participants	performance objective for 2010 is to increase of wellness visits in this age category by an additional 5%
	to 45% of overall participants	weiliess visits in this age category by an additional 5%
	Explain how these objectives were set: This age group	Explain how these objectives were set: We
	is a hard group to encourage well child visits for. As	continuously strive to find new ways to encourage families to
	children get older and are no longer in need of their	take their 3-6 year olds in for wellness visits. We are
	immunizations every couple of months, families tend to not	hoping to be able to make small strides in this population
	feel the necessity to take them in. We are hoping to find	therefore we have chosen to set our goals at 5% increases
	new ways to encourage families by working closely with our	each year.
	Medical Director at BCBS – who used to be the state	
	pediatrician at the Dept of Health.	

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Comments on Measure: Our enrollment of 3, 4, 5,	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:
and 6 year olds has increased quite a bit since last year,		
however our well child visits have not. Only the 4 year olds		
have had an increase in the amount of well child visits since		
the last reporting period. We are developing a newsletter		
and hope to be able to address wellness within it to increase		
our percentage of children at this age being seen by a doctor		
for a preventive visit.		

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
		At least 50% of five and six year old children enrolled in Kid
		Care CHIP will have received dental services prior to
		kindergarten entry.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
		This is a new measure based on performance measures
		outline in our state plan amendment from July 1, 2007.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	⊠ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS: Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	☑Other. Explain:
		Delta Dental Claims data
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
(1) reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-tike methodology)	(ij reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-tike methodology)	(1) reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-tike methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 679
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 762
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 89.1
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Children with just preventive visits: 458/762 = 60%
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? This is a new measure for 2007
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? We are working hard to find new ways to educate families on the importance of taking their children in for their preventive dental visits. We also are encouraging them to use their dental benefits.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Our objective for 2008 is to increase our utilization by 5% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Our objective for 2009 is to increase our utilization by an additional 5%
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Our objective for 2009 is to increase our utilization by an
	Explain how these objectives were set:	additional 5%
		Explain how these objectives were set: These objectives were set based on data for this first year. We were able to exceed our original goal of 50% by 10%. Due to the extra work we are doing to educate families on the importance of prevention we anticipate being able to continue to increase our goals each year.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? What have you found?

We continue to work closely with BCBS of Wyoming and Delta Dental of Wyoming who provide the health, vision and dental insurance to all our eligible children. Through BCBS and Delta Dental, we receive quarterly and annual reports that provide data on wellness, immunizations, ER visits, dental and vision utilization, providers access, grievences, etc.

2. What strategies does your SCHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? When will data be available?

We just updated our performance measures in July 2007. We do not have any plans to make additional changes at this time.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your SCHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

No

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your SCHIP program's performance. Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.

n/a

Enter any Narrative text below [7500].

SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

OUTREACH

How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? [7500]

We have begun working closely with our community partners this year. Now that we no longer have our Covering Kids Grant and local projects across the state we felt it was necessary to find new ways to get into the communities since we only have Outreach staff at the state office. We have worked closely with our medical and dental contractors as well as with the Wyoming Association of Municipalities, School Nurses, NEEDS and others across the state. These activities included back to school events, print ads, University Football/Basketball games, specific dental outreach to families and dentists, etc.

What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness? **Would you consider this a best practice?** [7500]

We have found that Family/Friends and the schools have been the most effective in reaching the families. We work very closely with the school nurses and the school lunch program each year to help find eligible families. This year we worked with some of our Title I schools and were able to have a booth at their open houses to distribute materials. Secondly we have found that families indicate that they hear about us from their Doctor's offices. Blue Cross Blue Shield has been working very hard to ensure that their providers are advocating for the program. Our measurements come from calls into our hotline. The staff asks each person how they heard about the program and we monitor this throughout the year.

Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)? Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

We have worked in quite a few areas this year:

Rural areas: We partnered with Farmers Union insurance, who writes insurance for many of our farms and ranches in the state. This has proven to work quite well since many of the families can only afford insurance on the farm/ranch and/or the parents but not the children.

Native Americans: We have created a good working relationship with our Indian Health Services clinic on the Wind River Indian Reservation. Our staff works closely with their staff to ensure that outreach and enrollment as well as the processing of applications is conducted in a manner that works well for the Native American population. We have also presented to the Tribal Business Council so that they are aware of any changes/updates to the program and to answer any questions or concerns they may have.

Minorities:

We have translated all of our outreach materials into Spanish (applications/brochures/posters) and have been working closely with the Latino Resource Center in Jackson. We have conducted

trainings with their staff and they have helped organize parent nights so that we can help families complete applications and ask questions with the assistance of a translator.

We feel that each of these efforts have been successful. Effectiveness is measured by applications received and feedback from families/organizations.

What percentage of children below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or SCHIP have been enrolled in those programs? (Identify the data source used). [7500]

4000 and 4000 there were 42,000 shildren sligible for Medicaid or CCLUD. As at 2000 shov unin

SUE

Between 1996 and 1998 there were 13,000 children eligible for Medicaid or SCHIP. As of 2006 we we that there are 5,000 children that are uninsured at or below 200% FPL. This is a 61.5% drop in our sured rate. Source: CPS data
SSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)
States with a separate child health program up to and including 200% of FPL must complete question 1.
Is your state's eligibility level up to and including 200 percent of the FPL?
If yes, if you have substitution prevention policies in place, please identify those strategies. [7500]
In Kid Care CHIP, children must be without health insurance for 30 days prior to applying. This time limit works well as most parents do not want to take a chance by having their children uninsured.
States with a separate child health program above 200 through 250% of FPL must complete question 2. All other states with trigger mechanisms should also answer this question.
Is your state's eligibility level above 200 and up to and including 250 percent of the FPL?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A
If yes, please identify the trigger mechanisms or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted. [7500]
States with separate child health programs over 250% of FPL must complete question 3. All other states with substitution prevention

provisions should also answer this question.

Does your state cover children above 250 percent of the FPL or does it employ substitution	วท
prevention provisions?	

☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, identify your substitution prevention provisions (waiting periods, etc.). [7500]

All States must complete the following 3 questions

Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and how the State evaluates the effectiveness of its policies. [7500]

We continuously work with Blue Cross Blue Shield to ensure that families do not have insurance 30 days prior to applying. If it is found that a family had insurance at application then they are removed from the program. We have found that most of the time it is a non-custodial parent that has insurance on the child and the custodial parent has no knowledge of the insurance or the access to it.

At the time of application, what percent of applicants are found to have insurance? [7500]

Over the course of the last FFY, we had 770 applications denied for having insurance at the time of application. This comes to approximately .09% of the total applications received in the year.

Describe the incidence of substitution. What percent of applicants drop group health plan coverage to enroll in SCHIP? [7500]

We have not really found that many people are dropping their insurance to be on Kid Care CHIP, they actually seem to still have the insurance at the time of application. (Even though that is still a small amount). Usually they indicate that they would like to drop it because it is too expensive, but don't because they don't want to have to go without insurance on their children for 30 days.

COORDINATION BETWEEN SCHIP AND MEDICAID

(This subsection should be completed by States with a Separate Child Health Program)

Do you have the same redetermination procedures to renew eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP (e.g., the same verification and interview requirements)? Please explain. [7500]

We have similiar procedures. For SCHIP in Wyoming we send the family their renewal form 60 days prior to their renewal date. If we do not hear from them, we send a second form at 30 days prior to renewal. The form is a blank form that they must fill in and return. A self addressed stamped envelope is included to assist them. There are no interviews required and everything is self declaration. We do however, pull a random sample for Quality Control.

For Medicaid, they do not send out the form as early as we do, however their form is similiar and is blank for the family to mail back in. Due to the new DRA requirements, they are requiring copies of Birth Certificates on those children/families that they do not already have the information on as well as proof of identity. Everything else is self declaration for them also.

Please explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to SCHIP and from SCHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain. [7500]

At renewal (Kid Care CHIP): If a child is found to be ineligible for Kid Care CHIP because their income has lowered, then Kid Care CHIP staff forwards the application to the child's local Department of Family Services office by fax or mail for Medicaid determination. Medicaid Renewal: The Department of Family Services will forward the Medicaid renewal to Kid Care CHIP if the child is found to be over income at renewal.

During the course of 12 months eligibility: If a family's income changes enough to make them eligible for Medicaid, then the family can be switched back to Medicaid at the end of the month in which the family has requested the change.

If the family's income changes to make them above the income guidelines for Medicaid during their 12 months of eligibility, they remain on Medicaid until their 12 months of eligibility is up and they complete their renewal form. If they are above income at that time, then they are sent to Kid Care CHIP.

We have not identified any challenges.

Are the same delivery systems (including provider networks) used in Medicaid and SCHIP? Please explain. [7500]

In Wyoming, our Medicaid and SCHIP programs are two entirely different programs. The provider networks are maintained separately. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Wyoming is in charge of the provider network for SCHIP and Medicaid utilizes ACS. Both programs have their own provider networks, however most providers are the same as most providers in the state accept both Medicaid and SCHIP. Our Dental provider network seems to be slightly different, as some dentists in the state have chosen not to accept Medicaid but are accepting SCHIP.

For states that do not use a joint application, please describe the screen and enroll process. **[7500]**. N/A

ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATION AND RETENTION

What measures does your State employ to retain eligible children in SCHIP? Please check all that apply and provide descriptions as requested.

	Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
\boxtimes	Sends renewal reminder notices to all families
	How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program? [500] Families are sent three notices.
	At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?) [500]
	Families are sent a first reminder notice at 60 Days prior to their renewal date, then again at 30 days prior to their renewal date. A final closure letter is sent at 10 days prior to closure.
	Sends targeted mailings to selected populations
	Please specify population(s) (e.g., lower income eligibility groups) [500]
	Holds information campaigns
\boxtimes	Provides a simplified reenrollment process,

	Please describe effor Medicaid/SCHIP app		length of the applicat	ion, creating combine	d
	At renewal, families a	are only required to co	mplete a one page re	enewal form.	
	Conducts surveys or please describe: [500		enrollees to learn mo	re about reasons for o	disenrollment
	Other, <i>please explain</i>	r: [500]			
	We are going to beging the street	n a new pilot project t	his year to look at cor	ntacting families that o	did not return
	y strategies? If so, p		e most effective? Ha aluation, including da		
par	ents fail to renew. W	e are working on a ne	ewals. We close man we pilot with our insura g their renewal forms	ance contractor that v	
			re retained in the progrous rolled at redeterminate		on? What
45%	% of our children are i	retained at redetermir	nation.		
55%	% of our children are	disenrolled at redeter	mination.		
The	e majority of disenrolle	ees are for failure to r	eturn the renewal forr	n.	
indivi	duals who disenroll, orage, how many rema	or do not reenroll, in S	conduct assessments SCHIP (e.g., how man any age-out, how man	y obtain other public	or private
	☐ Yes☐ No☐ N/A				
	When was the month	nly report or assessm	ent last conducted?	[7500]	
		question above, pleas rts and/or assessmer	e provide a summary nts. [7500] .	of the most recent fir	ndings (in the
			als Who Disenroll, o		
Total Number of Dis-	Obtain other public or private coverage	Remain uninsured	Age-out	Move to new geographic area	Other

enrollees

Number	Percent								

Please describe the data source (e.g., telephone or mail survey, focus groups) used to derive this information. Include the time period reflected in the data (e.g., calendar year, fiscal year, one month, etc.) [7500].

COST SHARING

Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in SCHIP? If so, what have you found? **[7500]**

We do not impose premiums or enrollment fees in our program.

Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in SCHIP? If so, what have you found? [7500]

No we have not undertaken any such assessments.

SCHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2007 73

If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of health services in SCHIP. If so, what have you found? **[7500]**

We have not increased or decreased cost sharing in the past year.

EMPLOYER SPONSORED INSURANCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S)) UNDER THE SCHIP STATE PLAN OR A SECTION 1115 TITLE XXI DEMONSTRATION

program	s your State offer an employer sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistance) for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds? Yes, please answer questions below. No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.
Childre	en Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
	Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration
Adults	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
	Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration

☐ Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)
Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)
□ Parents and Caretaker Relatives□ Childless Adults□ Pregnant Women
Briefly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insurance program or a premium assistance program, how do you coordinate assistance between the state and/or employer, etc.) [7500]
What benefit package does the ESI program use? [7500]
Are there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package? [7500]
Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits or cost sharing? [7500]
Are there any limits on cost sharing for children in your ESI program? Are there any limits on cost sharing for adults in your ESI program? [7500]
3. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this program even if they were covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).
Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
 Identify the estimated amount of substitution, if any, that occurred or was prevented as a result of your employer sponsored insurance program (including premium assistance program). Discuss how was this neasured? [7500]
0. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced? [7500]

11. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program? [7500]
12. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]
13. What do you estimate is the impact of your ESI program (including premium assistance) on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured? [7500]
14. Identify the total state expenditures for providing coverage under your ESI program during the reporting period. (For states offering premium assistance under a family coverage waiver or for states offering employer sponsored insurance or premium assistance under a demonstration.) [7500]
15. Provide the average amount each entity pays towards coverage of the beneficiary under your ESI program:
State:
Employer:
Employee:
16. If you offer a premium assistance program, what, if any, is the minimum employer contribution? [500]
17. Do you have a cost effectiveness test that you apply in determining whether an applicant can receive coverage (e.g., the state's share of a premium assistance payment must be less than or equal to the cost of covering the applicant under SCHIP or Medicaid)? [7500]
18. Is there a required period of uninsurance before enrolling in your program? If yes, what is the period of uninsurance? [500]
19. Do you have a waiting list for your program? Can you cap enrollment for your program? [500]

PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE SCHIP PROGRAMS (I.E. THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)

	Does your stat	te have a w	ritten plan tha	ıt has sa	feguards a	nd establishe	es methods an	d procedures
for:								

	(1) prevention	
	(2) investigation	on
	(3) referral of	cases of fraud and abuse?
	Please explair	n: [7500]
	applications fo	We have a plan that allows us to conduct quality control on any and all or eligibility that we see necessary. We do randomly select 20% of our applications ontrol check each month.
	For providers:	If a complaint comes in, it is routed to the appropriate department
	(provider relat	tions for a licensing / credentialing issue) or operations for
	a claim issue.	Our contractor researchs the issue, obtains documentation, and then makes a
	determination	. Depending on the issue, they may bring in their legal counsel,
	medical direct	for or others to assist.
	Licensing issu	ues are brought to the attention of the appropriate licensing board.
	Any billing iss education with	ues go back to a lack of education by coding staff so our contractor conducts alot of n them.
		period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred,
regar	ding fraud and al	buse in the following areas:
	Provider Credent	
_	0	Number of cases investigated
_	0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	Provider Billing	
_	0	Number of cases investigated
	0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

Beneficiary E	Eligibility
0	Number of cases investigated
0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
Are these cas	es for:
SCHIP	\boxtimes
Medicaid	and SCHIP Combined
3. Does your stat	e rely on contractors to perform the above functions?
🛚 Yes, p	lease answer question below.
☐ No	
	elies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide nose contractors? Please explain: [7500]
	n contractors for "a" and "b" which is currently Blue Cross Blue Shield and Delta ire annual reporting of all cases of fraud and abuse.
For "c", our SCHII	P program conducts eligibility within our office so that is done w/out contractors.
Enter any Narrativ	ve text below. [7500]

SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (Note: This reporting period = Federal Fiscal Year 2007. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.)

COST OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	2007	2008	2009
Insurance payments	7525743	8342181	9100500
Managed Care			
Fee for Service			
Total Benefit Costs	7525743	8342181	9100500
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)			
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 7525743	\$ 8342181	\$ 9100500

Administration Costs

Personnel	312605	396686	410570
General Administration	55716	58853	121100
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)	62042	273750	286831
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs	22708	59481	61563
Other (e.g., indirect costs)	89984	93380	96648
Health Services Initiatives			
Total Administration Costs	543055	882150	976712
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	836194	926909	1011167

Federal Title XXI Share	5409322	5995815	6550188
State Share	2659476	3228516	3527024

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	8068798	9224331	10077212
TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	0000790	9224331	10077212

۷.	wilat were til	e sources of non-rederal funding used for State match during the reporting period?
	\boxtimes	State appropriations
		County/local funds

Employer contributions Foundation grants Private donations Tobacco settlement

Other (specify) [500]

3. Did you experience a short fall in SCHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough Federal SCHIP funds for your program? [1500]

No

4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month cost rounded to a whole number. If you have SCHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	2007		20	08	2009	
	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM
Managed Care	66370	\$ 168	71378	\$ 185	78558	\$ 192
Fee for Service		\$		\$		\$

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

2007: Total Duplicated count of eligibles. Oct 2006 began with 5,321 children and ended with 5,692 in Sept 2007. The \$168 PMPM is an average of two premiums we paid during the year. \$157.65 PMPM from Oct 06 until June 2007. \$178 PMPM from July 2007 until Sept 2007.

2008: Began with 5,840 eligibles on October 1, 2008 and are estimating that we will have 6,084 children enrolled by Sept 30, 2008. Premium of \$185 PMPM based on an estimated increase of 4% from the previous year.

2009: Estimating to begin with 6,109 eligibles on October 1, 2008 and ending with 6,384 eligibles on Sept 30, 2009. Premium of \$192 PMPM based on an estimated increase of 4% from the previous year.

SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY SCHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with SCHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	SCHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility			y HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibili			
		* Upper % of FPL are defined as Up to and Including					
Children	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	
Parents	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	
Childless Adults	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	
Pregnant Women	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	

al number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your ation during the reporting period.
 Number of children ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
 Number of parents ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
 Number of pregnant women ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
 Number of childless adults ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
ou found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care are required to evaluate the effectiveness of your demonstration project, so report here

Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. *Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2007 starts 10/1/06 and ends 9/30/07).*

on any progress made in this evaluation, specifically as it relates to enrollment, retention, and access to

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1					

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2

care for children. [1000]

(e.g., parents)				
Insurance Payments				
Managed care				
per member/per month rate for managed care				
Fee for Service				
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service				
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2				
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3				
(e.g., pregnant women)				
Insurance Payments				
Managed care				
per member/per month rate for managed care				
Fee for Service				
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service				
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3				
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4				
(e.g., childless adults)	 •	•	•	
Insurance Payments				
Managed care				
per member/per month rate for managed care				
Fee for Service				
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service				
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3				
Total Danafit Coata				
Total Benefit Costs				
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)				
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)				
beneficiary Cost Snanng Payments)				
Administration Costs	 			
Personnel				
General Administration				
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)				
Claims Processing				
Outreach/Marketing costs				
Other (specify)				
Total Administration Costs				
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)				
Federal Title XXI Share				
State Share				

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)? [500]

TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION

Please provide a description of any assumptions that are included in your ca	calculations.	500	1
--	---------------	-----	---

Other notes relevant to the budget: [7500]

SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted SCHIP. [7500]

Our political and fiscal environment for SCHIP continues to be surprisingly favorable. For SCHIP, we continue to have overwhelming support for the program from both the legislature and the Governor. Unfortunately do to the fact that reauthorization has not happened and the most certain removal of parents from SCHIP we will not be able to implement our CHIP 4 Parents program that our Legislature passed in 2006.

Wyoming continues to be in a budget surplus – which is unlike most other states in the nation which has helped our program tremendously.

During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced? **[7500]**

We've been fortunate in our state to not have too many challenges. One thing that we struggle with is the loss of children at renewal. There are many that do not return their renewal form each month.

We also are struggling with the unknown that reauthorization has brought. Without the program being reauthorized it makes it very difficult to plan budget wise with your state Legislature.

During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program? [7500]

The SCHIP program was audited by our Legislature this year and received a very high rating from the auditors. This rating brought great recognition to the program from the Dept Director, Legislature and Governor.

What changes have you made or are planning to make in your SCHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]

There are no changes planned

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]